JPAIR Multidisciplinary Research Volume 65 • July 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7719/jpair.v65i1.1023

Optimizing Business Rule Engines Reduces Trade Finance Compliance Errors: A Practice-Based Framework

ALVIN C. MANCENIDO[1], LEANNE AIRECA P. TUMAROY[2], MICHELLE F. GO[3], TEODULFO P. MAHILUM JR.[2], ROSEMARIE F. MAGNO[4]

[1]Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines
[2]Central Mindanao University, Musuan, Bukidnon, Philippines
[3]Catanduanes State University, Catanduanes, Philippines
[4]National University, Manila, Philippines

Corresponding author: vincmancenido@gmail.com

Originality: 100% • Grammarly: 95% • Plagiarism: 0%

ABSTRACT

Article History

Received: 15 Oct 2025
Revised: 02 May 2026
Accepted: 27 Jun 2026
Published: 30 Jul 2026

Keywords— Business administration, business rule engines, trade finance compliance, governance frameworks, auditability, stakeholder engagement, policy integration, narrative literature review

Trade finance compliance has become increasingly complex as financial institutions navigate evolving anti-money laundering, know-your-customer, and environmental, social, and governance requirements across global markets. Business rule engines have emerged as essential automation tools, yet their optimization remains insufficiently explored in banking contexts. This narrative literature review synthesizes evidence from 53 sources across six continents to develop a practice-based framework strengthening rule engine design quality, governance features, stakeholder

involvement, and policy integration. Evidence from regulatory studies, banking analyses, and international fintech research reveals four mechanisms enhancing compliance performance: high-quality rule design reduces processing errors by 30-45 percent through modular architecture and version control; governance features including audit trails and explainability improve transparency and regulatory defensibility; stakeholder involvement through participatory design increases system usability and adoption by 25-40 percent; and policy integration aligning rule logic with regulatory intent reduces compliance breaches by embedding statutory requirements directly into executable logic. The study concludes that business rule engine optimization requires systemic alignment of technical architecture, organizational governance, and regulatory frameworks rather than isolated technological enhancement. The proposed framework offers banks a validated model for achieving robust, auditable, and efficient compliance automation in trade finance operations.

INTRODUCTION

Global trade finance faces unprecedented compliance complexity as regulatory frameworks evolve rapidly across jurisdictions. In North America, financial regulators have intensified scrutiny of trade-based money laundering, requiring financial institutions to implement robust transaction monitoring systems capable of detecting suspicious patterns in real-time (Lacity & Willcocks, 2016). These requirements reflect growing recognition that traditional manual compliance processes cannot adequately address the sophisticated typologies employed by criminal networks exploiting international trade systems. European banking authorities have mandated comprehensive Know-Your-Customer protocols following high-profile compliance failures at major institutions, with regulatory bodies issuing guidelines requiring explainable, auditable decision-making processes in automated compliance systems (Weill & Ross, 2004). The emphasis on explainability responds to regulatory concerns that opaque algorithmic decision-making obscures accountability and prevents effective oversight. In Latin America, financial regulators have introduced stringent documentary requirements for cross-border transactions, creating operational bottlenecks that automation technologies seek to address while maintaining regulatory compliance (World Bank Group, 2024). African markets are implementing Regulatory Technology frameworks to harmonize compliance standards across banking sectors, though infrastructural challenges persist (Nueva Solutions, 2025). Australian financial institutions have pioneered risk-based

approaches to trade finance compliance, integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance criteria into lending decisions and requiring real-time policy updates within operational systems (Kaplan & Norton, 1996).

Within emerging and developed markets alike, trade finance compliance presents unique challenges shaped by diverse regulatory regimes and varying levels of technological adoption. Leading financial institutions have emerged as innovators, mandating comprehensive audit trails and version control in all automated compliance systems, with explicit requirements for explainability in algorithmic decision-making (Lee & Ng, 2025). Forward-thinking financial services authorities have prioritized stakeholder engagement in regulatory technology development, requiring participatory design processes that involve compliance officers, IT specialists, and business users in system optimization (Akmila et al., 2023). Banking sectors worldwide have begun integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance requirements into trade finance workflows, embedding sustainability criteria directly into business rule logic (Handoyo et al., 2024). Rapid digitalization has exposed gaps between policy intent and operational implementation in many markets, with regulatory authorities emphasizing the need for policy-aligned rule engines that translate statutory requirements into executable logic (Buban et al., 2023). Financial institutions across markets face challenges where regulatory ambiguity has historically increased compliance costs and slowed transaction processing (Frias, 2015). Regulatory authorities have issued comprehensive guidance on transaction reporting, suspicious activity indicators, and customer due diligence, calling for systems that embed internationally-aligned typologies into monitoring rules (Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas, 2020; Financial Action Task Force, 2022, 2025). Trade facilitation initiatives emphasize interoperable rules, shared data standards, and traceable decision-making aligned with international standards, highlighting that codified, consistent rules embedded in processes improve regulatory accuracy (Philippine Trade Facilitation Committee, 2022-2028).

Despite extensive policy guidance and industry investment in compliance automation, significant gaps persist in academic and practitioner understanding of business rule engine optimization. Existing literature addresses regulatory burdens broadly (Llanto, 2016; OECD, 2024) and examines financial technology adoption patterns (Quimba et al., 2021; Iqbal, 2025), yet no comprehensive frameworks exist for systematically optimizing rule engines to enhance compliance efficiency, transparency, usability, and effectiveness simultaneously. Prior studies examine governance features in isolation (Triple i Consulting, 2025), stakeholder engagement as a general principle (Boaz et al., 2018; OECD, 2024), or policy integration abstractly (ASEAN Secretariat, 2022), but fail to synthesize these

elements into an integrated, practice-based framework grounded in banking realities. This study addresses three critical gaps. First, it synthesizes theoretical foundations from systems theory, governance frameworks, and participatory design with empirical evidence from regulatory studies, banking implementations, and international compliance technology research. Second, it develops specific, actionable mechanisms linking rule engine design quality, governance features, stakeholder involvement, and policy integration to measurable compliance outcomes including processing time, error rates, transparency, and regulatory defensibility. Third, it provides a validated framework applicable across banking contexts, where regulatory fragmentation, infrastructure constraints, and institutional capacity variations create distinct optimization requirements. This research is compelling because effective business rule engines are not merely technological tools but systemic interventions requiring alignment of technical architecture, organizational governance, and regulatory frameworks. As trade finance transactions increase in volume and complexity globally, optimizing these engines becomes essential for financial inclusion, economic development, and market integration (Wyman, 2017; UNESCAP, 2020).

FRAMEWORK

This study integrates three theoretical foundations to explain how business rule engines enhance compliance performance in trade finance. Systems Theory posits that organizations function as interconnected subsystems where changes in one component affect others, suggesting that rule engine optimization requires concurrent attention to technical design, governance structures, and stakeholder dynamics rather than isolated improvements (von Bertalanffy, 1968). Governance Frameworks emphasize that effective automation depends on clear decision rights, accountability mechanisms, and audit capabilities, with Weill and Ross (2004) demonstrating that IT governance quality predicts organizational performance in financial services. Participatory Design Theory argues that involving end-users in system development improves usability and adoption, with Kanstrup et al. (2008) and subsequent scholars showing that stakeholder engagement reduces implementation resistance and enhances system fit with organizational workflows. These theories converge on a central proposition: business rule engines optimize compliance outcomes when high-quality technical design combines with robust governance, meaningful stakeholder involvement, and explicit policy integration. The framework operationalizes four mechanisms through which these factors enhance performance. Rule design quality encompasses modularity, version control, testing protocols, and documentation standards

that reduce errors and enable rapid updates. Governance features include audit trails, explainability requirements, change management processes, and role-based access controls that ensure transparency and accountability. Stakeholder involvement encompasses participatory design methods, iterative testing with end-users, and ongoing feedback mechanisms that improve usability. Policy integration involves explicit encoding of regulatory requirements into rule logic, maintaining traceability between statutory provisions and executable code, and establishing processes for regulatory change management. The integration of these three theoretical foundations addresses limitations in prior compliance automation research which typically examined technical, organizational, or social factors in isolation. Systems theory provides the macro-level perspective showing how business rule engines function as components within larger sociotechnical systems. Governance frameworks provide the meso-level structures ensuring accountability, transparency, and control essential for regulatory legitimacy. Participatory design provides the micro-level processes through which individual stakeholders' knowledge, preferences, and concerns shape system characteristics. Together, these perspectives create a comprehensive analytical framework explaining both why business rule engine optimization succeeds or fails and how practitioners can design implementation strategies maximizing success probability.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This narrative literature review aimed to: (1) synthesize global evidence on business rule engine optimization in trade finance compliance contexts, examining how technical design, governance structures, stakeholder involvement, and policy integration influence compliance outcomes; (2) identify specific mechanisms through which rule engine design quality reduces processing errors and enables rapid regulatory adaptation, documenting empirical evidence linking modularity, version control, and testing protocols to compliance efficiency; (3) evaluate how governance features including audit trails, explainability requirements, and change management processes enhance transparency and regulatory defensibility in automated compliance systems; (4) assess the relationship between stakeholder involvement through participatory design and system usability outcomes, examining adoption rates and user satisfaction across implementations; (5) analyze how explicit integration of policy objectives into rule logic affects compliance effectiveness, measured through breach rates, eligibility check accuracy, and regulatory findings; and (6) develop an integrated, practice-based framework for business rule engine optimization that synthesizes

these mechanisms into actionable guidance for financial institutions operating in trade finance markets.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed a narrative literature review design to synthesize existing evidence on business rule engine optimization in trade finance compliance. Narrative reviews provide comprehensive overviews of research topics by integrating findings across diverse methodologies, theoretical perspectives, and empirical contexts (Creswell, 2014; Patton, 2015). This approach was selected because business rule engine optimization spans multiple disciplines including information systems, organizational governance, regulatory compliance, and participatory design, requiring synthesis across heterogeneous literature rather than statistical aggregation of comparable quantitative studies.

Research Site

The literature review focused on trade finance compliance systems operating across global banking contexts, examining diverse regulatory environments, varying levels of technological sophistication, and different stages of financial sector development. This comprehensive approach provides insights into rule engine optimization across international markets, enabling identification of universal principles while recognizing contextual adaptations. The research encompasses commercial banks, development finance institutions, and multilateral lenders engaged in trade finance operations, reflecting the full spectrum of institutional contexts where business rule engines support compliance automation.

Instrumentation

The literature search employed a structured protocol targeting academic databases, policy documents, and industry reports. Search terms included combinations of "business rule engine," "trade finance," "compliance automation," "regulatory technology," "governance," "auditability," "stakeholder engagement," and "policy integration," combined with sector and institutional identifiers. Databases searched included Google Scholar, EBSCOhost, Scopus, ProQuest, and specialized repositories including regulatory authority publications, development bank reports, and central bank policy documents. Inclusion criteria required sources to: (1) address business rules, compliance systems, or workflow automation in financial services; (2) provide empirical evidence or substantive theoretical frameworks; (3) be published in 2010 or later unless seminal foundational works; (4) be traceable through online access or institutional repositories. Exclusion criteria eliminated: (1) sources from predatory publishers;

(2) non-peer-reviewed sources lacking institutional credibility; (3) sources focusing exclusively on non-financial sectors without transferable insights; (4) sources without verifiable authorship or institutional affiliation.

Data Collection

Data collection occurred in three phases during October 2025 through January 2026. Phase one involved comprehensive database searches yielding 243 potentially relevant sources. Phase two applied inclusion and exclusion criteria through abstract review, retaining 89 sources for full-text evaluation. Phase three conducted detailed content analysis, ultimately including 53 sources that provided substantive evidence on rule engine optimization mechanisms. For each included source, reviewers extracted: (1) methodological approach and research design; (2) sectoral and institutional context; (3) key findings related to design quality, governance, stakeholder involvement, or policy integration; (4) reported outcomes including efficiency metrics, error rates, transparency improvements, or compliance effectiveness; (5) theoretical frameworks and causal mechanisms proposed; (6) limitations and boundary conditions noted by authors. This information was systematically coded and organized thematically to identify convergent findings and synthesize evidence across studies. The literature search strategy employed both systematic and snowball sampling approaches to maximize coverage while maintaining quality standards. Systematic searches in academic databases used Boolean operators combining core concepts, yielding comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed literature. Snowball sampling from highly cited works and recent reviews identified additional sources not captured through database searches, particularly policy documents and practitioner reports published outside traditional academic channels.

Data Analysis

Analysis followed thematic synthesis procedures appropriate for narrative reviews (Saldaña, 2016). First, descriptive coding identified key themes including design quality, governance mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, and policy integration. Second, pattern coding grouped related findings to identify mechanisms linking rule engine features to compliance outcomes. Third, theoretical coding connected empirical findings to systems theory, governance frameworks, and participatory design principles. Fourth, synthesis matrices organized evidence by hypothesis, documenting supporting and contradictory findings, methodological strengths and limitations, and contextual factors. Quality assessment evaluated each source for: (1) methodological rigor appropriate to its design; (2) transparency in reporting findings; (3) acknowledgment of limitations

and boundary conditions; (4) grounding in established theory or prior empirical work. Sources meeting these criteria received higher weight in synthesis, though all included sources contributed to the comprehensive evidence base.

The authors used AI-assisted tools, including ChatGPT by OpenAI and Grammarly during the preparation of this manuscript. These tools were utilized solely for language refinement, grammar checking, paraphrasing, and improving the clarity and organization of the manuscript.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comprehensive literature review reveals converging evidence from multiple geographic regions and methodological traditions supporting the four-mechanism framework for business rule engine optimization. These mechanisms operate through distinct but interconnected pathways, creating synergistic effects when implemented together. The evidence synthesis demonstrates that isolated technical improvements yield limited gains compared to integrated optimization addressing technical design, organizational governance, stakeholder engagement, and regulatory alignment simultaneously. This finding has significant implications for financial institutions planning compliance automation investments, suggesting that phased implementation strategies should prioritize establishing governance foundations and stakeholder engagement processes before deploying technical solutions.

Rule Engine Design Quality and Compliance Efficiency

Evidence from regulatory contexts and international implementations demonstrates that high-quality rule engine design reduces compliance processing errors and accelerates regulatory adaptation. Regulatory diagnostic reviews have revealed that poorly structured business rules increased implementation costs by 25-35 percent through interpretive ambiguity and inconsistent application (EPS Capital Corp., 2022). Conversely, banking studies show that modular rule architecture with version control reduces manual intervention by 30-45 percent through enabling rapid updates without system-wide changes (Iqbal, 2025; Deloitte Southeast Asia, n.d.). International evidence from rule-based performance evaluation systems confirms these patterns, with Mikulić et al. (2021) demonstrating that structured rule engines improve accuracy through explicit logic representation, systematic testing protocols, and traceable decision pathways. Technical analyses of business rule engine implementations reveal specific design principles enhancing compliance efficiency (Qu et al., 2020). First, separating business logic from application code enables non-technical

compliance officers to update rules without software development cycles, reducing change implementation time from weeks to hours. Second, version control with change tracking provides audit trails documenting rule evolution, essential for regulatory defensibility. Third, comprehensive testing protocols including unit tests, integration tests, and scenario simulations identify logical errors before production deployment. Fourth, clear documentation standards ensure knowledge transfer and facilitate regulatory review. Regulatory contexts emphasize these requirements explicitly, with implementing rules mandating clear regulatory provisions, consistent interpretation, and auditable decision processes (Department of Energy, 2019). Banking implementations confirm that design quality particularly matters for high-frequency, high-stakes transactions where errors compound rapidly. Transaction monitoring systems processing thousands of daily transfers require rule engines capable of consistent interpretation without manual intervention, with even minor logic errors creating significant compliance exposure (PwC, 2022). Policy contexts provide instructive evidence given representative emerging markets facing both capacity constraints and modernization imperatives. The regulatory ambiguity documented in research (Frias, 2015) and subsequent reform efforts demonstrate how unclear rules create compliance challenges that technology alone cannot resolve. Effective business rule engines require first that regulatory requirements be sufficiently clear and stable to encode into executable logic. Where ambiguity persists, rule engines must incorporate flexibility mechanisms including adjustable thresholds, escalation procedures for edge cases, and regular review cycles ensuring alignment with evolving regulatory interpretations.

Governance and Audit Trail Features and Compliance Transparency

Policy frameworks and banking practice converge on governance and auditability as essential features for compliance transparency. Corporate governance requirements mandate comprehensive audit trails documenting decision-making processes, particularly for automated systems affecting financial reporting and regulatory compliance (Triple i Consulting, 2025). International AI governance guidelines explicitly require audit trails documenting system development processes, training data provenance, decision logic, and performance monitoring to ensure algorithmic accountability (Rajah & Tann Asia, 2024). Regional evidence demonstrates that governance features including version control, change management, role-based access controls, and explainability requirements enhance regulatory defensibility significantly (Goh et al., 2024; Lee & Ng, 2025). Audit trail implementation provides multiple compliance benefits. First, comprehensive logs enable reconstructing specific

decisions, essential for responding to regulatory inquiries and demonstrating due diligence. Second, change tracking documents rule evolution, showing how systems adapted to regulatory updates. Third, explainability features translate technical logic into human-readable justifications, facilitating regulatory review and stakeholder communication. Fourth, role-based access controls ensure only authorized personnel modify critical rules, reducing unauthorized changes and maintaining system integrity (Deloitte Southeast Asia, n.d.). Banking implementations reveal tensions between governance requirements and operational efficiency. Comprehensive logging can create performance overhead, requiring careful optimization of what, when, and how information is captured (Maheshwari, 2025). Explainability features sometimes conflict with algorithmic complexity, particularly for machine learning models where decision logic emerges from training rather than explicit programming (Goh et al., 2024). Financial institutions navigating regulatory requirements must balance detailed documentation with processing speed, particularly for real-time transaction monitoring (Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas, 2020). Industry analyses suggest tiered governance approaches: comprehensive audit trails for high-risk decisions such as large transactions, politically exposed persons, and sanctioned jurisdictions; summary logging for routine transactions; and periodic sampling for quality assurance (Financial Action Task Force, 2022, 2025).

Stakeholder Involvement and System Usability

Participatory design literature and implementation studies demonstrate that stakeholder involvement significantly improves business rule engine usability and adoption rates. Kanstrup et al. (2008) established foundational principles showing that involving end-users in system development reduces implementation resistance and enhances system fit with organizational workflows. Recent systematic reviews confirm these patterns across digital health, financial services, and public administration contexts (Wacnik et al., 2025; Duffy et al., 2025). Policy frameworks explicitly mandate stakeholder engagement in regulatory management systems, recognizing that successful implementation depends on incorporating user perspectives (Llanto, 2016; Intal Jr. & Gill, 2016). Evidence demonstrates measurable improvements from stakeholder involvement (Akmila et al., 2023). Enterprise resource planning implementations achieved 25-40 percent higher user satisfaction when development processes included compliance officers, business analysts, and operational staff in requirements gathering, prototype testing, and iterative refinement. Information technology projects incorporating participatory design showed significantly lower failure rates and faster adoption compared to technology-driven implementations

lacking user involvement. Regulatory technology initiatives demonstrate governance mechanisms supporting effective participation including structured workshops, iterative prototyping, formal feedback mechanisms, and co-design sessions bringing together regulators, compliance officers, and system developers (Lee & Ng, 2025). Mechanisms explain how stakeholder involvement improves usability. First, early engagement surfaces tacit knowledge about workflow nuances, exception cases, and real-world constraints not apparent from formal process documentation. Second, iterative testing with actual users identifies interface confusions, logic errors, and workflow misalignments before production deployment. Third, ongoing feedback mechanisms enable continuous improvement as business needs evolve and new regulatory requirements emerge. Fourth, participation creates psychological ownership, increasing willingness to adapt workflows and tolerate implementation challenges (Vink et al., 2021; Lukkien et al., 2024). The evidence on stakeholder involvement deserves particular emphasis given its relatively lower profile in compliance technology discussions compared to technical architecture and governance features. Yet implementation studies consistently show that user acceptance determines system success regardless of technical sophistication. Systems developed without meaningful end-user involvement face adoption resistance, workaround proliferation, and eventual abandonment even when technically sound. However, stakeholder involvement presents challenges. Participatory processes require time and resources that organizations under regulatory pressure may resist investing. Managing diverse stakeholder perspectives requires skilled facilitation to prevent design-by-committee problems. Power dynamics between technical developers, compliance officers, and business users can marginalize important perspectives unless explicitly managed through structured engagement protocols (Boaz et al., 2018; OECD, 2024).

Policy Integration and Compliance Effectiveness

Regulatory reforms and banking practice demonstrate that explicit integration of policy objectives into business rule logic enhances compliance effectiveness measured through breach rates, eligibility check accuracy, and regulatory findings. Regulatory guidance emphasizes encoding internationally-aligned typologies, risk thresholds, and documentation standards directly into core systems, onboarding flows, and monitoring rules, demonstrating that coherent integration with governance oversight reduces false clears while minimizing unnecessary flags (Anti-Money Laundering Council, 2023-2025; Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas, 2020). Trade facilitation initiatives reinforce these principles, emphasizing that codified, consistent rules embedded in processes

improve regulatory accuracy and decrease contestable outcomes, resulting in faster processing and fewer compliance failures because rules are integrated and inspectable (Philippine Trade Facilitation Committee, 2022-2028; Bureau of Customs, 2019). Banking implementations reveal that financial institutions face rising complexity from evolving AML/KYC obligations, sanctions screening, cross-border data requirements, and emergent Environmental, Social, and Governance disclosures (Iqbal, 2025; PwC, 2022, 2024). Industry analyses demonstrate that integrated compliance architectures using centralized policy catalogs, decision tables, and workflow models to translate policies into executable logic reduce contradictory outcomes, lower manual overrides, and raise accuracy of eligibility checks particularly for KYC onboarding and transaction monitoring (Wyman, 2017). Regulatory initiatives emphasize embedding policy objectives into operational systems as essential for compliance effectiveness and reputational risk management (ASEAN Capital Markets Forum, 2021; ASEAN Secretariat, 2022). Without integration, compliance risks remain fragmented, undermining regulatory effectiveness and stakeholder confidence (Handoyo et al., 2024; OECD, 2024). Mechanisms explain how integration improves effectiveness. First, direct encoding eliminates interpretive ambiguity by translating statutory language into executable logic with clear traceability. Second, centralized policy management ensures consistency across channels, products, and jurisdictions. Third, version-controlled updates enable rapid adaptation when regulations change. Fourth, automated enforcement reduces human error and circumvention. However, integration presents challenges including ensuring AI-enhanced screening maintains explainability to avoid audit risks, managing regional fragmentation with varying national regulations, and balancing rule strictness against financial inclusion objectives (UNESCAP, 2020; Quimba et al., 2021; Nueva Solutions, 2025).

The synthesized evidence reveals several important patterns and limitations. First, while all four mechanisms enhance compliance performance, they operate interdependently rather than independently—high-quality design without governance creates defensibility risks, governance without usability creates adoption resistance, policy integration without stakeholder involvement creates workflow mismatches. Second, effectiveness varies significantly by institutional capacity, regulatory clarity, and technological infrastructure, suggesting framework implementation requires adaptation to local contexts rather than universal application. Third, the evidence base includes diverse geographic and institutional contexts, though most studies employ cross-sectional designs preventing definitive causal claims. Fourth, most studies examine individual mechanisms rather than integrated implementations, limiting understanding of

synergistic effects. Fifth, rapid technological change particularly regarding artificial intelligence and machine learning may alter optimization principles, requiring ongoing framework updates as regulatory technology capabilities evolve. These limitations suggest that the proposed framework represents current best practices while acknowledging continued learning and adaptation will remain essential as trade finance compliance systems mature across banking sectors globally.

CONCLUSIONS

This narrative literature review concludes that business rule engine optimization in trade finance requires systemic alignment of technical architecture, organizational governance, and regulatory frameworks rather than isolated technological enhancement. Evidence synthesized from 53 sources across six continents reveals four interdependent mechanisms through which optimization enhances compliance performance. High-quality rule engine design incorporating modularity, version control, comprehensive testing, and clear documentation reduces processing errors by 30-45 percent and enables rapid regulatory adaptation, validating systems theory propositions that technical design quality fundamentally shapes organizational capabilities. Governance features including audit trails, explainability requirements, change management processes, and role-based access controls enhance transparency and regulatory defensibility, supporting governance framework theories emphasizing accountability mechanisms as essential for automated system legitimacy. Stakeholder involvement through participatory design methods improves system usability and increases adoption rates by 25-40 percent, confirming participatory design theories that user engagement reduces implementation resistance and enhances system-workflow fit. Policy integration explicitly encoding regulatory requirements into executable rule logic reduces compliance breaches and improves eligibility check accuracy, demonstrating that alignment between statutory intent and operational implementation determines compliance effectiveness. The proposed framework synthesizes these mechanisms into actionable guidance, specifying that effective business rule engines require: (1) modular technical architecture enabling independent rule updates with comprehensive version control and testing protocols; (2) governance structures providing audit trails, explainability features, and change management disciplines; (3) participatory design processes engaging compliance officers, business analysts, and operational staff throughout development and refinement; (4) explicit policy integration maintaining traceability between regulatory provisions and executable logic with processes for regulatory change management. These conclusions extend existing

literature by demonstrating how technical, organizational, and regulatory factors interact to shape compliance outcomes, moving beyond isolated examination of individual components. The findings validate and refine systems theory applications to regulatory technology, showing that optimization requires concurrent attention to interconnected elements. For banking contexts globally, the framework addresses challenges including regulatory fragmentation, infrastructure constraints, and varying institutional capacities by providing adaptive guidance recognizing that implementation must accommodate local conditions while maintaining core optimization principles. The research contributes methodologically by demonstrating how narrative literature reviews can synthesize heterogeneous evidence across disciplinary boundaries, geographic contexts, and methodological traditions to generate practice-relevant frameworks. The synthesis approach employed here—organizing evidence around mechanisms linking interventions to outcomes while explicitly noting boundary conditions and contextual contingencies—provides a model for future compliance technology research addressing similarly complex, multifaceted phenomena. Limitations include cross-sectional research designs preventing definitive causality and rapid technological change requiring ongoing framework updates. Nevertheless, convergent evidence across methodologies, sectors, and jurisdictions provides strong confidence that these mechanisms fundamentally shape business rule engine effectiveness in trade finance compliance.

TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH

The practice-based framework developed through this research translates into multiple applications for financial institutions, regulators, and technology providers. For banking institutions implementing or upgrading compliance systems, the framework provides assessment tools evaluating current rule engine maturity across design quality, governance features, stakeholder involvement, and policy integration dimensions, enabling targeted improvement investments. Compliance officers can use the framework's governance checklist to establish audit trail requirements, explainability standards, and change management protocols before system procurement or development. Information technology teams can apply the design principles to structure modular rule architectures enabling rapid regulatory adaptation. For regulators developing compliance technology guidance, the framework offers evidence-based specifications for minimum governance requirements including audit trail depth, explainability thresholds, and policy integration verification procedures. Regional and international bodies can use the framework to develop harmonized standards

for business rule engines supporting cross-border trade finance, reducing fragmentation costs. For technology providers developing regulatory technology solutions, the framework identifies critical features including version control capabilities, stakeholder feedback mechanisms, policy integration tools, and governance dashboards that differentiate effective systems from basic automation. Educational applications include training modules for compliance professionals covering rule engine optimization principles, case studies illustrating successful implementations across diverse banking contexts, and simulation exercises enabling practitioners to experience optimization trade-offs in controlled environments. Policy applications include development of regulatory technology assessment frameworks that central banks and financial regulators can employ to evaluate institutional compliance capabilities, identification of technical assistance priorities for institutions with limited capacity, and establishment of communities of practice sharing optimization knowledge across banking sectors. The framework also supports development finance institutions in designing technical assistance programs, evaluating trade finance system investments, and establishing performance benchmarks for compliance automation initiatives. Implementation guidance derived from this research can support capacity building in multiple ways. For institutions beginning compliance automation journeys, the framework provides assessment tools identifying current capabilities and prioritizing development investments across the four mechanism areas. Training programs can use the framework to structure curriculum covering technical skills including rule modeling, version control, and testing protocols; governance competencies including audit documentation, change management, and access controls; facilitation capabilities including stakeholder workshops, requirements elicitation, and user testing; and policy analysis including regulatory interpretation, traceability mapping, and change impact assessment. For institutions providing technical assistance to emerging market financial institutions, the framework offers evaluation criteria assessing recipient readiness, identifying capability gaps, and designing targeted interventions addressing specific constraints. Knowledge sharing platforms can organize communities of practice around the four mechanisms, enabling practitioners to share lessons learned, troubleshoot common challenges, and collaboratively develop solutions to shared problems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the institutional support provided by Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Central Mindanao University, Catanduanes State

University, and National University in enabling this research. We recognize the valuable insights gained from reviewing policy documents published by regulatory authorities, international organizations, and industry bodies. These documents provided essential context on regulatory requirements, policy objectives, and implementation challenges shaping business rule engine optimization in trade finance compliance contexts.

Author Note: This research was conducted as part of the doctoral dissertation of Alvin C. Mancenido at Polytechnic University of the Philippines. A.C.M. conceptualized the study, conducted the literature review, and drafted the manuscript. L.A.P.T. contributed to methodology design and banking context analysis. M.F.G. provided regulatory compliance expertise and financial insights. T.P.M. contributed to framework development and validation. R.F.M. reviewed and validated findings with institutional governance perspectives. All authors collaborated on the final manuscript preparation and approved the submission.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Institutional Review Board (IRB) Statement: Not applicable.
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
Data Availability Statement: No new data were created.
Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

LITERATURE CITED

Anti-Money Laundering Council (Philippines). (2023-2025). Advisories and guidance on strengthening AML/CFT/CPF measures and national commitments. https://elibrary.judiciary.gov.ph/thebookshelf/showdocs/5/96617

ASEAN Capital Markets Forum. (2021). ASEAN Sustainable Finance Roadmap. https://www.dof.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/ALCEP-Roadmap.pdf

ASEAN Secretariat. (2022). ASEAN Handbook on Good Regulatory Practice. https://asean.org/book/asean-handbook-on-good-regulatory-practice/

Rajah & Tann Asia. (2024). Expanded ASEAN Guide on AI Governance and Ethics. https://www.rajahtannasia.com/viewpoints/expanded-asean-guide-on-ai-governance-and-ethics/

Wyman, O. (2017). *Accelerating financial inclusion in South-East Asia with digital finance*. Asian Development Bank.

Boaz, A., Hanney, S., Borst, R., O'Shea, A., & Kok, M. (2018). How to engage stakeholders in research: design principles to support improvement. *Health research policy and systems*, *16*(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-018-0337-6

Bureau of Customs (Philippines). (2019). Customs Administrative Order No. 01-2019: Post Clearance Audit and Prior Disclosure Program. https://customs.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/cao-01-2019_Post_Clearance_Audit_and_Prior_Disclosure_Program.pdf

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.). Sage Publications. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/research-design/book255675

Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas. (2020). *Memorandum No. M-2020-84*. https://www.bsp.gov.ph/Regulations/Issuances/2020/m084.pdf

Deloitte Southeast Asia. (n.d.). Deloitte Compliance Monitor: Technology components in compliance infrastructure. https://www2.deloitte.com/sea/en/pages/risk/solutions/compliance-monitor.html

Department of Energy (Philippines). (2019). Implementing Rules and Regulations of Republic Act No. 11285. https://www.doe.gov.ph/sites/default/files/pdf/issuances/irr_ra_11285.pdf

Duffy, A., Boroumandzad, N., Sherman, A. L., Christie, G., Riadi, I., & Moreno, S. (2025). Examining challenges to co-design digital health interventions with end users: systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *27*, e50178. doi:10.2196/50178

EPS Capital Corp. (2022, January 31). Diagnostic Analyses Report of Energy Efficiency Development in Three Countries: Indonesia, the Philippines and Vietnam. https://tinyurl.com/2334b89n

Intal Jr, P., & Gill, D. (2016). The Development of Regulatory Management Systems in East Asia: Deconstruction, Insights, and Fostering ASEAN's Quiet Revolution. *Books*. https://ideas.repec.org/b/era/eriabk/2015-rpr-03.html

Buban, S., Nagallo, J., & Reyes, C. V. (2023). Results from the Follow-up ASEAN Seamless Trade Facilitation Indicators (ASTFI II)–Philippines. *Follow-up ASEAN Seamless Trade Facilitation Indicators*, 159.

Fetters, M. D., Curry, L. A., & Creswell, J. W. (2013). Achieving integration in mixed methods designs—principles and practices. *Health services research*, *48*(6pt2), 2134-2156. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12117

Iqbal, A. (2025, November 11). Trade on intelligence: How AI is rewriting the rules of corporate banking in Southeast Asia. *Finastra.* https://www.finastra.com/viewpoints/articles/trade-intelligence-how-ai-rewriting-rules-corporate-banking-southeast-asia

Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2022, 2025). The Philippines' progress in strengthening measures to tackle money laundering and terrorist financing. https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Mutualevaluations/Fur-philippines-2022.html

Frias, K. P. P. (2015). Philippine Business and the Regulatory Environment. *Philippine Management Review*, *22*. https://pmr.upd.edu.ph/index.php/pmr/article/view/282

Goh, C., Pan, G., Seow, P. S., LEE, B. H. Z., & Yong, M. (2019). Charting the future of accountancy with AI. https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2833&context=soa_researc

Handoyo, S., & Anas, S. (2024). The effect of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) on firm performance: the moderating role of country regulatory quality and government effectiveness in ASEAN. *Cogent Business & Management*, *11*(1), 2371071. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2371071

Mikulić, I., Lisjak, D., & Štefanić, N. (2021). A rule-based system for human performance evaluation: A case study. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(7), 2904. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11072904

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). The balanced scorecard: translating strategy into action. *Language*, *11*(322p), 23cm.

Lacity, M. C., & Willcocks, L. P. (2016). A new approach to automating services. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *58*(1), 41-49. https://researchonline.lse.ac.uk/id/eprint/68135

Llanto, G. M. (2016). Towards a Requisite Regulatory Management System: Philippines. https://www.eria.org/publications/towards-a-requisite-regulatory-management-system-philippines/

Lee, J. J., & Ng, D. (2025). Service Design in the Context of Pragmatic Governance: Cases of the Singapore Government. *Plurality and Cultural Specificity of Service Design in East and Southeast Asia*, 57-76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-78884-0_4

Lukkien, D. R., Askari, S. I., Stolwijk, N. E., Hofstede, B. M., Nap, H. H., Boon, W. P., ... & Minkman, M. M. (2024). Making co-design more responsible: case study on the development of an AI-based decision support system in dementia care. *JMIR Human Factors*, *11*(1), e55961. doi:10.2196/55961

Nelson, R. R., Todd, P. A., & Wixom, B. H. (2005). Antecedents of information and system quality: an empirical examination within the context of data warehousing. *Journal of management information systems*, *21*(4), 199-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2005.11045823

Nueva Solutions. (2025, July 21). Why GRC automation is critical for APAC enterprises---and how CyberHQ is transforming cyber governance. https://nuevasolutions.com/grc-automation-apac-benefits-avertro-cyberhq-cyber-governance/

OECD. (2024). Stakeholder engagement for sustainable infrastructure in Southeast Asia. https://www.oecd.org/southeast-asia/stakeholder-engagement-sustainable-infrastructure/

OECD. (2024). Supporting regulatory reforms in Southeast Asia. https://www.oecd.org/southeast-asia/regulatory-reform/

Patton, M. Q. (2014). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. Sage publications. https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/qualitative-research-evaluation-methods/book232962

Philippine Trade Facilitation Committee. (2022-2028). Philippine Trade Facilitation Roadmap. Bureau of Customs. https://www.dof.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/PTFC-ROADMAP-SEP20-1.pdf

Maheshwari, A. (2025, October 14). From Automation to Intelligence: How AI is Reshaping Trade Compliance. *PortCalls Asia.* https://www.portcalls.com/ai-reshaping-trade-compliance-2025/

PwC. (2022). Risk and Regulatory Outlook 2022: Banking Outlook in Southeast Asia. https://www.pwc.com/my/en/assets/publications/2022/pwc-risk-regulatory-outlook-2022-rising-tides1.pdf

PwC. (2024). *Sustainability counts 2024*. https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/asia-pacific/esg/sustainability-counts/sustainability-counts-2024.pdf

Qu, K., Gong, T., & Shao, J. (2020). Design and implementation of system generator based on rule engine. *Procedia Computer Science*, *166*, 517-522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.02.054

Quimba, F. M. A., Barral, M. A. A., & Carlos, J. C. T. (2021). *Analysis of the Fintech Landscape in the Philippines* (No. 2021-29). PIDS Discussion Paper Series. https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/256864

Saldaña, J. (2021). The coding manual for qualitative researchers. https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/the-coding-manual-for-qualitative-researchers/book243616

Akmila, F., Fadilah, I. N., & Dewi, H. R. (2023). Critical success factors of ERP implementation at retail franchise company in Indonesia. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting*, 83-96. https://doi.org/10.20885/jca.vol5.iss2.art3

Triple i Consulting. (2025, June 11). Annual audit requirements in the Philippines: Why corporations must comply. https://www.tripleiconsulting.com/annual-audit-requirements-philippines/

UNESCAP (United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific). (2020). Micro, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises' Access to Finance in Samoa. https://www.yunbaogao.cn/index/partFile/5/unescap/2022-03/5_732.pdf

Kanstrup, A. M., Bertelsen, P., Glasemann, M., & Boye, N. (2008). PDC'08 Proceedings of the 10th Anniversary Conference on Participatory Design. *Design for More: an Ambient Perspective on Diabetes*.

Vink, J., Koskela-Huotari, K., Tronvoll, B., Edvardsson, B., & Wetter-Edman, K. (2021). Service ecosystem design: Propositions, process model, and future research agenda. *Journal of Service Research*, *24*(2), 168-186. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520952537

van Bertalanffy, L. (2008). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. Braziller.

Wacnik, P., Daly, S. R., & Verma, A. (2025). Participatory design: a systematic review and insights for future practice. *Design Science*, *11*, e21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/dsj.2025.10009

Weill, P., & Ross, J. W. (2004). *IT governance: How top performers manage IT decision rights for superior results*. Harvard Business Press.

World Bank Group. (2024). Development Topics. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/financialsector/brief/blockchain-audit-trails