



Sociological Concepts in Guidance and Counseling: A Review of Literature

GIAN DERICK J. SEVILLA¹ , NIKKO J. MALAZARTE¹ ,
CECILIA B. BANAAG¹ 

¹De La Salle University – Dasmariñas, Brgy. Fatima 1, Dasmariñas City, Cavite, Philippines

Corresponding Author: gdjsevilla@gmail.com

Originality: 100% • Grammarly: 95% • Plagiarism: 0%

ABSTRACT

Article History

Received: 17 Dec 2025

Revised: 09 Aug 2026

Accepted: 28 Sept 2026

Published: 31 Oct 2026

Keywords— Guidance and Counseling, Sociology, Ecological Systems Theory, Social Justice, Social Stratification, Labeling Theory, Counseling Practice, Counseling Psychology, Philippines

Traditionally, guidance and counseling have focused on internal and psychological processes often neglecting the impact of social environments on individual well-being. Sociology offers a vital lens through which to view clients as social actors embedded within complex societal systems. This literature review aims to analyze fundamental sociological concepts—specifically ecological systems theory, social stratification, labeling theory, and multiculturalism—and their implications for modern counseling practice. The study employed a narrative literature review, synthesizing scholarly articles from 2000 to 2025 to explore the intersection of sociological theory

and mental health interventions. The analysis reveals that Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory facilitates a multilevel understanding of client development, while social class awareness mitigates biases and improves access to care. Furthermore, labeling theory highlights the dual role of diagnosis in both facilitating treatment and perpetuating stigma, and social justice frameworks



© Sevilla, G. D. J., Malazarte, N. J., & Banaag, C. B. (2026). Open Access. This article published by JPAIR Multidisciplinary Research is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). You are free to share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material). Under the following terms, you must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. You may not use the material for commercial purposes. To view a copy of this license, visit: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

empower counselors to address systemic barriers and oppression. The review concludes that integrating sociological perspectives transforms counseling from a remedial practice into a holistic, empowering endeavor.

INTRODUCTION

Guidance and counseling have traditionally been dominated by psychological theories, often focusing on internal processes such as individual behavior and cognition. It must be noted however, that human beings do not exist in a vacuum, but instead, they are products of their social environments. Sociology, the scientific study of social life, social change, and the factors that shape human behavior (University of Arizona, 2024) provides a critical lens. This allows us to understand the client not just as an individual, but also as a social actor embedded in the systems of society.

Contemporary sociological research on mental health emphasizes that psychological wellbeing is also deeply patterned by social structure such as class, race, gender, culture, and power relations. Classic and modern sociological perspectives demonstrate that social integration, role expectations, inequality, cultural meaning systems, and the social construction of diagnosis are central determinants of mental health outcomes (Busfield, 2000; Horwitz, 2009). Recent reviews highlight the need to integrate sociological theory into counseling and psychotherapy to more accurately capture clients' lived realities within social systems (Huft, 2022). From this perspective, clients are not only individuals with internal struggles, but also social actors navigating complex structural constraints and opportunities.

One influential framework bridging sociology and counseling is Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, which conceptualizes human development and behavior as the product of nested social systems ranging from immediate interpersonal context to broader sociocultural and historical forces. This model has been widely applied in counseling, social work, and education to illustrate how macro-level factor— such as public policy, cultural norms, and economic conditions— interact with micro-level experiences to shape individual wellbeing (Guy-Evans, 2025). In ASEAN societies, ecological systems are often mediated through extended family networks, religious institutions, and community authorities that play a central role in coping strategies and pathways to care. Empirical studies from Southeast Asia indicate that limited availability of professional mental health services shifts intervention efforts toward community-based and lay-delivered models, reflecting adaptive responses to structural constraints at the exosystem and macrosystem levels rather than

deficiencies in care (Maddock et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2023). By highlighting the reciprocal relationship between individuals and their environments, ecological theory reinforces the relevance of sociological thinking in counseling practice.

More recently, the social determinants of mental health framework have strengthened calls for integrating sociological perspectives into counseling. Research has consistently shown that factors such as socioeconomic status, education, housing, race-based discrimination, and access to community resources significantly influence mental health risks, service access, and treatment outcomes (Compton & Shim, 2015; World Health Organization [WHO], 2014). In the Southeast Asian contexts, these social determinants operate within collectivist social structures characterized by strong family obligations, religious meaning-making, and uneven access to mental health services. Regional analyses across ASEAN countries demonstrate that workforce shortages, reliance on informal support systems, and geographic disparities in service availability significantly shape help-seeking behaviors and counseling pathways, thus reinforcing the need to contextualize sociological frameworks rather than assume their universal applicability (Association of Southeast Asian Nations [ASEAN], 2016; Maddock et al., 2021). In relation to this, professional counselors are uniquely positioned to apply social determinants frameworks in assessment, prevention, advocacy, and community-based interventions (Pester et al., 2023). These, therefore, further challenge individualistic models of counseling by emphasizing that many psychological difficulties are also rooted in systemic conditions beyond the client's control.

Multicultural and social justice counseling literature has emphasized the inherently sociopolitical nature of counseling practice. The Multicultural and Social Justice Counseling Competencies framework positions counseling as occurring within intersecting systems of power, privilege, and oppression, arguing that failure to address structural inequity risks pathologizing marginalized clients while obscuring the social origins of distress (Ratts et al., 2016). Recent ASEAN-based counseling literature further emphasizes that multicultural and social justice competencies must move beyond Western theoretical dominance by incorporating indigenous knowledge systems, local cultural values, and decolonial perspectives. Studies from Indonesia and Malaysia demonstrate that culturally grounded counseling models enhance responsiveness, strengthen therapeutic alliances, and reduce systemic bias when adapted to local sociopolitical contexts (Nuha et al., 2026; Bakhtiar et al., 2025). Similarly, emerging work on intersectionality demonstrates that overlapping social identities such as race, class, gender, and disability interact with systems of power to shape mental health experiences in complex ways (Faissner et al., 2024). As such, these perspectives

underscore the importance of sociological concepts in fostering ethical, culturally responsive, and socially just counseling practice.

Although sociological perspectives are increasingly acknowledged in counseling discourse, their integration into guidance and counseling remains fragmented. Existing literature often references social context in a descriptive manner rather than systematically applying sociological concepts to counseling theory and practice (Huft, 2022). While frameworks such as ecological systems theory and the social determinants of mental health are widely cited, there is a lack of integrative reviews that explicitly synthesize core sociological concepts such as social stratification, socialization, social roles, and institutional power, and examine their direct relevance to the counseling process (Pester et al., 2023).

This review is necessary to address the conceptual gap between sociology and guidance and counseling by examining sociological concepts relevant to counseling theory and practice. By synthesizing sociological and counseling literature, the paper responds to contemporary calls for structurally informed, socially responsive mental health practice. Integrating sociological perspectives enable counselors to contextualize individual difficulties within their broader social environments, resulting in more accurate assessments, ethical decision-making, and effective intervention.

Additionally, this review contributes to counselor education and professional development by providing a theoretical foundation that supports social justice, structural competence, and ecological responsiveness. Ultimately, this paper argues that guidance and counseling must move beyond purely individualistic explanations of distress and embrace sociological insights to better serve diverse populations living within complex social systems.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of this review is to analyze key sociological concepts that inform the practice of guidance and counseling. Specifically, this review aims to: (1) Examine the role of ecological systems theory in understanding client development. (2) Investigate the impact of social stratification and class on mental health and counseling relationship. (3) Explore labeling theory and its relevance to diagnosis and destigmatization. (4) Discuss multiculturalism and social justice as necessary sociological concepts in modern counseling.

METHODOLOGY

The present study employed a narrative literature review to examine sociological concepts relevant to guidance and counseling. Given the interdisciplinary nature of sociology and counseling, this approach was considered most appropriate for the objectives of the study as it allows for broad, interdisciplinary synthesis of complex theoretical concepts.

The review of literature focused on sociological theories and concepts that inform counseling theory and practice, particularly in relation to how social structures, power relations, and institutional contexts shape client experience and mental health outcomes. The review was guided by four interrelated conceptual domains aligned with the study objectives: (1) Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (2) Social Stratification and Classism in Counseling (3) Labeling Theory and the Sociology of Diagnosis (4) Multiculturalism and Social Justice Frameworks in Counseling

Both classical and contemporary literature were included to capture the historical development and current applications of these concepts. While priority was given to literature published from 2000 to 2025, seminal works foundational to sociological theory were deliberately incorporated to ensure conceptual continuity.

To ensure quality and relevance of the data, studies were included if they were published between 2000 and 2025, was written in the English language, and provided a direct theoretical or practical link between sociological concepts and counseling interventions. Conversely, non-scholarly articles, blog posts, trade magazines, and articles that discuss sociological theory without clear implications for clinical or school-based counseling programs were excluded. To ensure a comprehensive search, literature was drawn from peer-reviewed journals, scholarly books, and authoritative institutional publications within the fields of sociology, counseling, psychology, education, and mental health. Furthermore, sources were identified through academic databases and scholarly search engines including Google Scholar, PsychINFO, Scopus, ERIC, PubMed, and Web of Science.

Search terms were used flexibly and iteratively, reflecting the exploratory nature of the review. Keywords included combinations of sociology and counseling, ecological systems theory, social stratification and mental health, classism in counseling, labeling theory and diagnosis, multicultural counseling, social justice counseling, and social determinants of mental health.

Ethical Considerations

As this research involved the analysis of publicly available secondary data and did not involve human participants, it was exempt from institutional review board oversight. However, to ensure adherence to ethical standards, proper citation and acknowledgement of all sources, accurate representation of the author's findings, and avoidance of plagiarism was observed. In addition to this, efforts were made to fairly interpret the literature without misrepresentation or bias, maintaining the objective integrity require of a scholarly synthesis.

The authors declare the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the writing of the paper. The author used Gemini in supporting the drafting and editing of this paper, including the clarity, grammar, and overall readability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Client in Context: Ecological Systems Theory

A foundational sociological concept in counseling is Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (EST), which frames client development as influenced by the environmental system. Research has consistently highlighted that these systems such as the microsystem (family, peers), mesosystem (interactions between family and school), exosystem (indirect influences), macrosystem (cultural values and laws), and the chronosystem (time and life transitions), interact to shape behavior and well-being (Crawford, 2020; Tong & An, 2024). This view shifts the counseling objective from merely "fixing" the individual, but instead understanding the environmental factors contributing to dysfunction. Behavioral issues, for instance, cannot be treated effectively without the school system interacting with the family unit (application of the mesosystem) (Perera, 2023).

In practice, EST encourages counselors to adopt a multilevel approach to assessment and intervention, integrating concepts from sociology and role theory to address both individual and systemic factors (Eriksson et al., 2018). For example, when working with adolescents experiencing anxiety, counselors may explore family dynamics and peer relationships (microsystem), school-community linkages (mesosystem), and the broader cultural attitudes towards mental health (macrosystem) (Jackman et al., 2022). While this holistic perspective promotes culturally responsive counseling, scholars note that its complexity can challenge implementation and may underemphasize individual agency. Nevertheless, EST remains a valuable framework for understanding client development in diverse contexts.

Social Stratification and Classism in Counseling

Social class is often a “forgotten variable” in psychology, but research in sociology indicates that it along with social stratification significantly influences mental health outcomes as well as the counseling relationship. It has been observed that lower socioeconomic status (SES) has been consistently linked to higher psychological distress and reduce access to preventative mental health care which often results on reliance to crisis services rather than continuing support (Barnet et al., 2023; Huang & Wang, 2023; Thomas et al., 2020). Within ASEAN contexts, these class-based disparities are further intensified by structural factors such as limited public investment in mental health, concentration of services in urban centers, and the financial burden of care, situating classism not only as an interpersonal bias but as an institutional reality shaping access, engagement, and continuity of counseling services (ASEAN Secretariat, 2021; Maddock et al., 2021). As such, frameworks such as the Social Class Worldview Model (SCWM) and Modern Classism Theory (MCT) explain how clients’ subjective experiences of class and structural inequities influence coping and engagement in counseling. (Liu et al., 2004; Liu, 2013). Furthermore, empirical evidence demonstrates that classism intensifies racial and ethnic disparities in mental health care, with marginalized populations experiencing measurable barriers such as reduced service utilization, lower treatment continuity, and provider bias that undermines therapeutic outcomes (Jordan & Dixon, 2021). Furthermore, it must be noted that classism compounds racial and ethnic disparities with marginalized groups reporting cost and provider bias as major obstacles to care (Panchal et al., 2024).

Classism also affects the counseling relationship by undermining trust and the therapeutic alliance when counselors impose middle-class-like norms or overlook resource constraints (Lavell, 2014; Trott, 2018). These dynamics can lead to a misinterpretation of client motivation and ineffective interventions. Recent research emphasizes anti-classist counseling approaches that integrate cultural humility, systemic analysis, and advocacy to address structural determinants of mental health (Cook, 2017; Parker-Barnes et al., 2023; Toporek et al., 2013). By situating counseling within the broader context of social stratification and ecological systems, practitioners can move beyond individual-level solutions towards strategies that recognize environmental factors and promote equity in mental health care.

Labeling Theory and the Social Construction of Deviance

Labeling theory is rooted in symbolic interactionism. It posits that deviance and mental illness are not inherent qualities, but are instead socially constructed through the process of categorization and reaction (Link et al., 2017). More

recent empirical work demonstrates that labeling effects can be disentangled from treatment effects, showing that diagnostic labels themselves contribute to diminished well-being by shaping expectations of discrimination and social rejection. When individuals are formally diagnosed, they acquire a socially sanctioned label that can alter identity and social interactions, often producing stigma and reinforcing marginalization (Thoits, 2020). The modified labeling theory expands this view by outlining how awareness of societal devaluation leads individuals to anticipate discrimination, adopt coping strategies such as secrecy or withdrawal, and experience diminished well-being (Link et al., 2017). In collectivist Southeast Asian societies, diagnostic labeling often carries relational consequences that extend beyond the individual to affect family reputation and social standing. Studies from the Philippines and neighboring ASEAN countries demonstrate that cultural narratives, religious INTERPRETATIONS of distress, and community judgment amplify stigma and discourage formal help seeking, underscoring the need to contextualize labeling theory within regional social structures (Tanaka et al., 2018; Matthews et al., 2025). Furthermore, empirical evidence confirms that diagnostic labels influence perceptions where studies show that labeling increases recognition of mental illness and empathy, but simultaneously reduces perceived agency and recovery expectations (Altmann et al., 2024; Kulwicka et al., 2025). These, therefore, highlight the dual nature of labeling. While it can help facilitate help-seeking, it may also perpetuate stereotypes and dangerousness of sustaining stigma.

The relevance of labeling theory in terms of destigmatization is in its critique of the rigid diagnostic practices and its call for socially informed interventions. The literature argues that stigma is maintained through cultural narratives and institutional power, framing psychiatric categories as social constructs rather than purely medical facts (Lester & O'Reilly, 2021). Destigmatization strategies informed by labeling theory emphasize reframing diagnoses with recovery-oriented discourse, promoting contact-based education, and ensuring that diagnostic communication is compassionate and contextualized (Eads et al., 2021; Ruscio, 2004; Thoits, 2020). Empirical studies in the Philippines and Asia confirm that stigma is deeply embedded in cultural and structural contexts, with individuals reporting discrimination, reduced access to care, and social exclusion. Interventions such as community-based education and culturally sensitive communication have been shown to reduce stigma and improve recovery outcomes (Doctor, 2025; Faissner et al., 2024; Tanaka et al., 2018; Thoits, 2020).

Multiculturalism and Social Justice

Empirical studies confirm this need: qualitative research in the Philippines

shows how guidance counselors integrate Cordilleran indigenous beliefs and practices into counseling, highlighting the importance of culturally grounded approaches (Bustillo, 2023). A systematic review in Malaysia further demonstrates that counselor training interventions significantly improve multicultural counseling competence, strengthening therapeutic alliances and outcomes (Xian & Hassan, 2022). Vera and Speight (2003) expand this discourse by explicitly linking multicultural competence with social justice, arguing that counselors must move beyond cultural awareness to actively challenge systemic inequities and advocate for marginalized populations. Additionally, a Philippine literature review confirms that culturally responsive counseling practices are essential for addressing diverse client needs and reducing misdiagnosis (Bruno, 2023). Together, these studies validate that multiculturalism is both a theoretical imperative and an empirically supported foundation for equitable counseling practice. As such, counselors must promote equity and care during delivery of services.

Moreover, research demonstrates that cultural competence, defined as awareness, knowledge, and skills for working effectively across cultures, reduces misdiagnosis, enhances the therapeutic alliance, and improves treatment outcomes (Ogundare, 2019; Orłowski et al., 2024). It must be noted that multiculturalism is not just a skill, but an understanding of sociological theory as it acknowledges the systemic influence of culture on identity formation, coping strategies, and access to mental health care (Pedersen et al., 2016).

Social justice complements multiculturalism by addressing structural inequities that perpetuate mental health disparities. It frames counseling as both an individual and systemic intervention, requiring practitioners to advocate for marginalized populations and dismantle barriers rooted in racism, classism, sexism, and other forms of oppression (Ratts et al., 2016). The Multicultural and Social Justice Counseling Competencies (MSJCC) framework provides a framework for integrating advocacy and cultural responsiveness, urging counselors to act at the micro, meso, and macro levels to promote fairness and inclusion (Ratts et al., 2016). Furthermore, studies link social justice-oriented counseling to improved empowerment, resilience, and access to resources among the underserved populations (Speight & Vera, 2008).

CONCLUSION

The integration of sociological concepts into guidance and counseling transforms the profession from a remedial, clinical practice into a holistic, empowering endeavor. The literature confirms that an exclusive focus on

psychological theories and internal states limits a counselor's efficacy. By applying the ecological systems theory, counselors see the full picture of the client's life; by understanding social stratification, they avoid classist biases; by utilizing the labeling theory, they reduce stigma; and by adopting social justice frameworks, they address the root cause of distress. Ultimately, the effective counselor must be a practical sociologist, understanding the interplay between self and society.

The development of clients is shaped by nested social environments, requiring counselors to adopt multilevel interventions that consider family, school, community, and broader cultural forces. This reveals that socioeconomic inequities not only exacerbate psychological distress but also undermine therapeutic alliances, making structural competence and anti-classist approaches indispensable. Also, this highlights the dual role of diagnosis: while it facilitates recognition and treatment, it also risks perpetuating stigma and diminished well-being. Recovery-oriented discourse, culturally sensitive communication, and community-based education — are therefore critical to reducing harm and promoting empowerment.

Empirical studies from the Philippines and Asia confirm that culturally grounded approaches, such as integrating indigenous belief systems and enhancing multicultural counseling competence, strengthen therapeutic outcomes and reduce misdiagnosis. These findings validate that culture shapes identity, coping, and access to care. This urges counselors to act as advocates, dismantling systemic barriers rooted in racism, classism, and other forms of oppression. Together, these perspectives transform counseling into a holistic, equity-driven endeavor.

Ultimately, the integration of sociological theory into counseling practice repositions the counselor as both clinician and practical sociologist—one who contextualizes individual struggles within broader social structures, challenges inequities, and fosters resilience. This narrative review affirms that the future of guidance and counseling lies in structurally informed, culturally responsive, and socially just practice. By embracing sociology, counselors not only enhance therapeutic effectiveness but also contribute to building inclusive systems that empower diverse populations in the Philippines and beyond.

While this synthesis provides a comprehensive conceptual synthesis, its scope is primarily focused on a narrative analysis of existing literature. As such, it identifies a clear pathway for future research to move from theoretical synthesis to empirical validation. Additionally, while this review successfully integrates key regional insights, it highlights a compelling opportunity for future empirical research to test sociologically-informed interventions across the diverse cultural landscape of the ASEAN region. Such localized studies will be essential in refining these frameworks and ensuring their practical application in various

global contexts.

Author Contributions: Gian Derick J. Sevilla—Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing Original Draft, Writing Review & Editing; Nikko J. Malazarte—Investigation, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing Review & Editing; Cecilia B. Banaag—Supervision.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board (IRB) Statement: Not Applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

LITERATURE CITED

- Altmann, B., Fleischer, K., Tse, J., & Haslam, N. (2024). Effects of diagnostic labels on perceptions of marginal cases of mental ill-health. *PLOS Mental Health*, 1(3), e0000096. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmen.0000096>
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (2016). ASEAN mental health systems. <https://asean.org/book/asean-mental-health-systems/>
- Bakhtiar, M. I., Hasbahuddin, Nur, E. W., Adibah, I. Z., Wisarja, I. K., & Korompot, S. (2025). Decolonizing counseling practice: Multicultural innovations and social justice in a systematic review of Scopus database study. *International Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 2(1), 41-53. <https://doi.org/10.31960/ijomcd.v2i1.3156>
- Barnett, P., Oshinowo, I., Cooper, C., Taylor, C., Smith, S., & Pilling, S. (2023). The association between social class and the impact of treatment for mental health problems: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 58(4), 581-603. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-022-02378-9>
- Becker Howard, S. (1963). Outsiders. *Studies in the Sociology of Deviance*. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1965-08393-000>

- Busfield, J. (2000). Introduction: Rethinking the sociology of mental health. *Sociology of health & illness*, 22(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.00219>
- Bruno, G. S. (2023). Guidance and counseling theories, techniques, and practices for filipinos: a literature review of free and accessible research articles online. <https://tinyurl.com/mvtpbhff>
- Bustillo, A. (2023). Pumachang: A Journey Through Cordilleran Indigenous Counseling Practices. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 9(7), 1-1. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8032269>
- Xian, C. K., & Hassan, S. A. (2022). Interventions to improve multicultural counseling competence: A systematic review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 12(11), 2211-2227. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v12-i11/15725>
- Compton, M. T., & Shim, R. S. (2015). The social determinants of mental health. *Focus*, 13(4), 419-425. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.focus.2015001>
- Cook, J. M. (2017). Social class bias: A phenomenological study. *The Journal of Counselor Preparation and Supervision*, 9(1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.7729/91.1167>
- Crawford, M. (2020). Ecological Systems theory: Exploring the development of the theoretical framework as conceived by Bronfenbrenner. *J Pub Health Issue Pract*, 4(2), 170. <https://doi.org/10.33790/jphip1100170>
- Doctor, J. (2025). Mental Health Stigma, Mental Health Services and Professionals, and Interventions in the Philippines. *Diversitas Journal*, 10(special_1), 609-619. https://doi.org/10.48017/dj.v10ispecial_1.3443
- Eads, R., Lee, M. Y., Liu, C., & Yates, N. (2021). The power of perception: Lived experiences with diagnostic labeling in mental health recovery without ongoing medication use. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 92(3), 889-904. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-020-09866-8>

- Eriksson, M., Ghazinour, M., & Hammarström, A. (2018). Different uses of Bronfenbrenner's ecological theory in public mental health research: what is their value for guiding public mental health policy and practice?. *Social Theory & Health*, 16(4), 414-433. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41285-018-0065-6>
- Faissner, M., Gaillard, A. S., Juckel, G., Yeboah, A., & Gather, J. (2024). Intersectionality and discriminatory practices within mental health care. *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine*, 19(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13010-024-00159-7>
- Guy-Evans, O. (2020). Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory. *Simply Psychology*, 10518-046. <https://www.simplypsychology.org/bronfenbrenner.html>
- Horwitz, A. V. (2010). An overview of sociological perspectives on the definitions, causes, and responses to mental health and illness. *A handbook for the study of mental health: Social contexts, theories, and systems*, 6-19. <https://tinyurl.com/3by563db>
- Huang, L., & Wang, D. (2023). Socioeconomic status and students' mental health during the COVID-19 university closure: mediating roles of perceived social support and self-efficacy. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(10), 871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13100871>
- Huft, J. (2022). The history and future of the sociology of therapy: A review and a research agenda. *The American Sociologist*, 53(3), 437-464. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12108-022-09534-3>
- Jackman, P. C., Sanderson, R., Allen-Collinson, J., & Jacobs, L. (2022). 'There's only so much an individual can do': an ecological systems perspective on mental health and wellbeing in the early stages of doctoral research. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 46(7), 931-946. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2021.2023732>
- Jordan, A., & Dixon, L. B. (2021). Racial-ethnic disparities in mental health care. *Psychiatric services*, 72(2), 236-237. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.72204>

- Kulwicka, K., Rusowicz, J., & Gasiorowska, A. (2025). Label matters: how labeling and diagnosis affect lay perception of people with depressive symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 16, 1612517. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1612517>
- Lavell, E. F. (2014). Beyond charity: Social class and classism in counselling. *Canadian Journal of Counselling and Psychotherapy*, 48(3). <https://cjc-rcc.ucalgary.ca/article/view/60986>
- Lester, J. N., & O'Reilly, M. (2021). The social construction of stigma: Utilizing discursive psychology for advancing the conceptualization of stigma in mental health. *Stigma and Health*, 6(1), 53. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sah0000281>
- Scheid, T. L., & Wright, E. R. (Eds.). (2017). *A handbook for the study of mental health*. Cambridge university press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316471289.024>
- Liu, W. M. (2013). Introduction to social class and classism in counseling psychology. *The Oxford handbook of social class in counseling*, 3-20. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195398250.013.0001>
- Liu, W. M., Soleck, G., Hopps, J., Dunston, K., & Pickett Jr, T. (2004). A new framework to understand social class in counseling: The social class worldview model and modern classism theory. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 32(2), 95-122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1912.2004.tb00364.x>
- Maddock, A., Blair, C., Ean, N., & Best, P. (2021). Psychological and social interventions for mental health issues and disorders in Southeast Asia: A systematic review. 15(56), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-021-00482-y>
- Matthews, A. K., Kittieerasack, P., Inwanna, S., Ogata, A., Tran-Chi, V., Loa, R., & Waluyo, A. (2025). A description of the social, cultural and historical context for sexual and gender minority individuals living in a selection of high, low, and middle-income ASEAN countries. *Sexuality & Culture*, 29, 2547-2575. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12119-025-10374-9>

- Nuha, M., S., Najich, M. A., & Jannah, M. (2026). Analysis of multicultural counseling and social justice competencies framework amid cultural diversity for counselor professionalism in Indonesia. *Jurnal Pembelajaran, Bimbingan, Dan Pengelolaan Pendidikan*, 6(3), 4. <https://doi.org/10.17977/um065.v6.i3.2026.4>
- Ogundare, T. (2020). Culture and mental health: Towards cultural competence in mental health delivery. *Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, 5(1), 023-034. <https://tinyurl.com/sw8mb67b>
- Orlowski, E. W., Moeyaert, M., Monley, C., & Redden, C. (2025). The effects of cultural humility on therapeutic alliance and psychotherapy outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 25(2), e12835. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12835>
- Panchal, N., Hill, L., Artiga, S., & Hamel, L. (2024). Racial and ethnic disparities in mental health care: findings from the KFF survey of racism, discrimination and health. *Kaiser Fam Found*, 1-13. <https://tinyurl.com/2rpfvnje>
- Parker-Barnes, L., Degges-White, S., Walker, D. A., Wickman, S., Linneman, B., Rowley, C., ... & McKillip, N. (2023). From Exploration of Classism to Anticlassist Counseling: Implications for Counselors and Counselor Educators. *Journal of Counselor Preparation and Supervision*, 17(1), 3. <https://research.library.kutztown.edu/jcps/vol17/iss1/3>
- Perera, K. D. R. L. J. (2023). Bronfenbrenner's Ecological system theory and Student Motivation. *Proceeding of the Open University Research Sessions, Ours*, 1-7. <https://tinyurl.com/3hstssac>
- Pedersen, P. B., Lonner, W. J., Draguns, J. G., Trimble, J. E., & Scharron-del Rio, M. R. (Eds.). (2015). *Counseling across cultures*. Sage Publications. <https://sk.sagepub.com/book/mono/counseling-across-cultures-seventh-edition/toc>
- Pester, D. A., Jones, L. K., & Talib, Z. (2023). Social determinants of mental health: Informing counseling practice and professional identity. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 101(4), 392-401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12473>

- Ratts, M. J., Singh, A. A., Nassar-McMillan, S., Butler, S. K., & McCullough, J. R. (2016). Multicultural and social justice counseling competencies: Guidelines for the counseling profession. *Journal of multicultural counseling and development*, 44(1), 28-48. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmcd.12035>
- Ruscio, J. (2004). Diagnoses and the Behaviors They Denote: A Critical Evaluation of the Labeling Theory of Mental Illness. *The Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice: Objective Investigations of Controversial and Unorthodox Claims in Clinical Psychology, Psychiatry, and Social Work*. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2004-20404-001>
- Smith, L. (2008). Positioning classism within counseling psychology's social justice agenda. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 36(6), 895-924. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000007309861>
- Speight, S. L., & Vera, E. M. (2008). Social justice and counseling psychology: A challenge to the profession. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), *Handbook of counseling psychology* (4th ed., pp. 54-67). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2008-04102-004>
- Thoits, P. A. (2021). Disentangling mental illness labeling effects from treatment effects on well-being. *Society and Mental Health*, 11(3), 183-200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2156869320949598>
- Tan, K., Keng, S., & Talib, M. A. (2023). Mental health issues in Southeast Asia regions: Looking back and moving forward. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14, 1229079. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1229079>
- Tanaka, C., Tuliao, M. T. R., Tanaka, E., Yamashita, T., & Matsuo, H. (2018). A qualitative study on the stigma experienced by people with mental health problems and epilepsy in the Philippines. *BMC psychiatry*, 18(1), 325. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1902-9>
- Thomas Tobin, C. S., Erving, C. L., & Barve, A. (2021). Race and SES differences in psychosocial resources: Implications for social stress theory. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 84(1), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0190272520961379>

- Toporek, R. L. (2013). Social class, classism, and social justice. *The Oxford handbook of social class in counseling, 1*, 21-34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195398250.013.0002>
- Tong, P., & An, I. S. (2024). Review of studies applying Bronfenbrenner's bioecological theory in international and intercultural education research. *Frontiers in psychology, 14*, 1233925. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1233925>
- Trott, A., & Reeves, A. (2018). Social class and the therapeutic relationship: The perspective of therapists as clients. A qualitative study using a questionnaire survey. *Counselling and Psychotherapy research, 18*(2), 166-177. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12163>
- University of Arizona (2024, October 3). Social & behavioral science: what is sociology. <https://www.uagc.edu/blog/what-sociology>
- Vera, E. M., & Speight, S. L. (2003). Multicultural competence, social justice, and counseling psychology: Expanding our roles. *The counseling psychologist, 31*(3), 253-272. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000003031003001>
- World Health Organization (2014, May 18). Social determinants of mental health. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241506809>
- Link, B. G., Phelan, J. C., & Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2017). 3 Stigma as. *The Oxford Handbook of Stigma, Discrimination, and Health*, 53.